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Abstract
PT. Harmoni Empat Selaras is a manufacturing company engaged in making racking systems and office needs. The company applies the make to order system in production process with job shop production flow, that is, production begins when there is an order coming in and processing the order production flow has a different process flow for each item. Erratic production scheduling in companies can increase the value of production makespan, and to reduce productivity and efficiency in similar industries. The company manufacture the gondola rack products, with the result of 2760 seconds makespan production time. By paying attention to the existing provisions, in minimizing makespan time this research use taboo search algorithm method and active scheduling methods, by making the initial solution with the classical heuristic method. The scheduling proposal with the active scheduling algorithm produces makespan is 2194 seconds and the proposal with tabu search algorithm produces an makespan value is 2011 seconds. So it can be said that scheduling with tabu search algorithm method is more optimal than active scheduling algorithm. So from the results of the new scheduling found that the decreasing of the gondola rack efficiency production makespan time by 27.1%.
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1. Introduction
Currently the manufacturing industry is rapidly grow, seen from the number of new companies that started their own businesses in the industrial sector. The increasing number of manufacturing industry companies will certainly make a tight and competition, so the company must have its own strategy in order to be trusted by every customer. Timely fulfillment of orders is a major factor in winning competition, and effective scheduling is the right method, besides being able to increase productivity and facility utilization (Ashwani & Pankaj, 2010).

PT. Harmoni Empat Selaras is a manufacturing industry engaged in making racking systems and office equipment. Production scheduling in this company is uncertain and having a job shop production type. With the job shop process flow and the use of erratic production scheduling at PT. Harmoni Empat Selaras can cause a high production makespan time values. Also this can effect the waste in the production line, such as a wasteful amount of labor that has not been allocated well, thus making it difficult for companies to compete with similar industries.

This research propose to find a best production scheduling using the tabu search algorithm and active scheduling. Scheduling with this method aims to compare proposals from both methods and minimize makespan time so the company can compete with other similar industries.

2. Literature Review
Production scheduling is defined as an allocation of time to carry out each job in order to complete the whole project in achieving an optimal results by considering the limitations resources (Husen, A. 2009). Better production scheduling will result in coordination better so it increases productivity and minimizes operational costs (Guilherme et al., 2003).

2.1 Scheduling Objectives
The existence of scheduling optimization efforts is needed. The production scheduling objectives include (Nahmias, S. 1997):

a. Find the production time.
b. Minimize set-up time, work in process time, and idle time.
c. Generate high machine or worker usability.
d. Determine work quickly.
e. Minimize the production costs and the number of workers.

2.2 Classic Heuristic Method

Job shop scheduling can be completed using the classic heuristic method. Classical heuristic methods that are often used in scheduling are (Baker, K. R., & Trietsch, D. 2009):

a. First Come First Serve (FCFS)
   According to this rule, the scheduling sequence is carried out based on the arrival time of a job or customer order. So, the job that came first, will be done first and so on for the next job (Baker, K. R., & Trietsch, D. 2009).

b. Earliest Due Date (EDD)
   According to this rule, the scheduling sequence is based on the due date of each job. This rule ignores the arrival time and the total processing time of each job. That is, jobs that have the earliest due date among other jobs are selected as jobs that have the highest priority for processing on a machine. This rule tends to be used to minimize the maximum lateness of jobs in the queue (Baker, K. R., & Trietsch, D. 2009).

c. Longest Processing Time (LPT)
   By using this rule, the process with the longest operating time will be scheduled first. This rule is also very simple, that is by sorting 14 jobs from the largest to the smallest processing time \(t_1 \geq t_2 \geq ... \geq t_n\). After that, the scheduling is done according to the order (Baker, K. R., & Trietsch, D. 2009).

d. Shortest Processing Time First (SPT)
   According to this rule, jobs are sorted based on the length of time each process is processed. So, the jobs with a shortest processing time will be processed first and then continued by other jobs, until the last job has the longest processing time. This rule is useful for balancing workloads among parallel machines arrangement parallel (Baker, K. R., & Trietsch, D. 2009).

2.3 Tabu Search Algorithm

Tabu Search is an algorithm that is a better level than some other algorithms, such as Simulated Annealing, to do search efficiently and prevent trapping solutions in local optimum (Heragu, 2006). The basic concept of Tabu Search is effectiveness the process of finding solutions by finding the best solution at each stage of tracking (Laguna at al. 1991). This method uses a tabu list to store a set of solutions that have just been evaluated. During the optimization process, in each iteration, the solution that will be evaluated will be matched first with the contents of the tabu list to see if the solution is already in the tabu list. If it already exists, then the solution will not be evaluated again. This situation keeps repeating until no solution is found that is not contained in the tabu list. In the tabu search method, a new solution is chosen if the solution which is a member of the neighboring solution set is the solution with the best objective function compared to other solutions in the neighboring solution set (Suyanto, A. O. 2010).

2.4 Completion of the Tabu Search Algorithm

The tabu search algorithm in completing the solution must pass each particular stage which has been set up in stages in the settlement is (Glover 1998):

1. Generating initial solutions
   First of all what is done is to generate the initial solution by searching for a solution manually.

2. Determining aspiration criteria

3. Make a move
   The move selected during the search process takes neighborhood search. Searching with this technique every possible attribute of each structure can be moved. Permutation of n-change neighborhoods takes n elements from the solution matrix (which relate to items that are being produced on a machine at a time), and for each item change being produced with another item. Changes used by the two neighborhoods by swapping matrix elements or combination elements by exchanging other elements in the matrix.
   An example of a neighborhood can be seen in Figure 1 and the solution in Figure 2 and Figure 3:
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4. To avoid repeated steps taken, a tabu test is conducted. Tabu test utilizes the existing tabu list. The tabu list contains the attributes of the solutions that have been visited before. The true purpose of the tabu list is not to prevent the recurrence of the steps taken, but rather not to back down. To prevent repetition, a list of the solutions that have been reached is stored in a table. However, repetitive situations are rare, because some neighborhoods have been combined, which will expand search space, making the possibility of repeating the solutions that have been visited become almost impossible. The solution in this table is tabu. The only exception is to blockage situation. If this situation occurs, then all paths will be tabu. To avoid this, the earliest path is used in the tabu list.

5. Alternative move that passes the test tab still has to pass the aspiration test, whether it can pass the aspiration threshold or not, if not then continue the next iteration.

6. If the alternative move has a better aspiration criteria than the aspiration threshold, it is executed against the alternative move and updates the memory that is not relevant.

7. If the stop rule has fulfilled the termination requirement, then the search stops.

2.5 Active Scheduling Algorithm

The active scheduling method is a scheduling method with a set of schedules that does not allow to shift the global left. The steps according to the active scheduling method are as follows (Baker, K. R. 1974):

1. Step 1: t = 0, Pst = 0 (i.e. a partial schedule containing t scheduled operations). Set St (i.e. a set of operations that are ready to be scheduled) is the same as all operations without precursor.

2. Step 2: Determine r* = min (Rj) where rj is when the first operation j can be completed (Rj = Cj + Tij). Determine m*, which is the machine where r* can be realized.

3. Step 3: For each operation in Pst that requires the m* machine and has Cj < r* for a certain priority rule. Add the highest priority operation to the Pst so that a partial schedule is formed for the next stage.

4. Step 4: Create a new Pt + 1 partial schedule and fix the data set by removing the j dar St operation then making St + 1 by adding the direct followers of the k operation that have been removed and then adding one to t.

5. Step 5: Return to step 2 until all work is scheduled.

Information:
PSt is a partial schedule consisting of scheduled operations
St is a set of operations that can be scheduled at stage t, after PSt is obtained
Cj is the fastest operating time j ∈ St can be started
Rj is the fastest operating time j ∈ St can be completed
3 RESEARCH METHOD
The following is a research method carried out during the thesis report.

3.1 Field Study and Literature Study
Field studies are carried out the company to find out the solution of the problems, and literature study is done to increase knowledge by reading papers or books that can help in determining the theme.

3.2 Identification of Problems and Formulating Problems
Identify the problems that are found in the company and also formulate the company problems.

3.3 Data Collection
Conduct factory reviews to get the data needed. The data needed is in the form of company general data, and production time data.

3.4 Data Test
Testing the data that has been obtained from the company, whether the data is feasible and adequate to fulfill the next processed of research completion.

3.5 Generating Initial Solutions with the Best Heuristic Classic Method
The first step is to find out the initial solution with the classical heuristic method. The optimal makespan time value will be used as the initial solution for the tabu search algorithm.

3.6 Data Processing with Tabu Search Algorithm and Active Scheduling Algorithm
The most optimal results from the classical heuristic method will be used as the initial solution in the tabu search algorithm method to have the optimal makespan time value.

3.7 Analysis of Initial Method Calculation Results with Proposed Methods
The results of the job sequence with the most optimal makespan value in the heuristic method will be used as the initial solution in the tabu search algorithm method and also the active scheduling algorithm, to have the optimal makespan time value for the final result.

3.8 Conclusions and Recommendations
Summarize the data that has been analyzed and provide advice for company. The flowchart of the research process can be seen in Figure 4.
Figure 4 Research Method Flowchart
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4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The job shop scheduling requires some data, namely the number of machines used and the production time data for each component. The results of company data observations are data on the number of machines in Table 1 and production time data in Table 2.

Table 1 Number of Machine Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Name of Machine</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cutting Machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Laser Machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Power Press Machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pounding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Press Breake Machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bench Drill Machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Welding Machine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Spot Welding Machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weld spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Punch Machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Embos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Standard Production Time Data (Second)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Time (Second)</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Base)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B (Laser Machine)</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>11B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>D (Press Brake Machine)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>12D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>G (Welding Machine)</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>13G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (Pole)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B (Laser Machine)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>21B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>D (Press Brake Machine)</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>22D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F (Welding Machine)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>23F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Shelving)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A (Cutting Machine)</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>31A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C (Power Press Machine)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>32C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>D (Press Brake Machine)</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>33D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>H (Punching Machine)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>34H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>I (Spot Weldig Machine)</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>35I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (Support Shelf)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A (Cutting Machine)</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>41A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>D (Press Brake Machine)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>42D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>E (Bench Drill Machine)</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>43E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (Bracket)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B (Laser Machine)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>51B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheduling for companies begins with makespan time calculation with classical heuristic methods and continued with tabu search algorithm method. Calculation with classical heuristic method uses 3 methods, namely FCFS (First Come First Serve) method, SPT (Shortest Processing Time First), and LPT (Longest Processing Time First).

From the three classic heuristic methods, the makespan value for the FCFS (First Come First Serve) method is 2760 seconds, with the SPT method (Shortest Processing Time First), the makespan value is 2194 seconds, and the LPT (Longest Processing Time First) method gets the value makespan is 2557 seconds. So that the smallest makespan value is 2194 seconds to be used as the initial solution in scheduling using the tabu search algorithm.
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The calculation with an active scheduling algorithm produces a makespan value of 2194 seconds with a sequence of jobs in the machine as shown in table 3 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sta</th>
<th>Cjb</th>
<th>Tijc</th>
<th>Rjd</th>
<th>t*</th>
<th>m*</th>
<th>PSte</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>51B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>41A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21B</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>21B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42D</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>42D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43E</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>43E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31A</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>31A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32C</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>32C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22D</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>22D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23F</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1178</td>
<td>1178</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11B</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>1401</td>
<td>1401</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>11B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33D</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>33D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12D</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>12D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34H</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>34H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35I</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>34I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13G</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>2194</td>
<td>2194</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>13G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- * is set of operations that can be scheduled at stage t, after PSt is obtained
- b the fastest operating time j \( \in St \) can be started
- c the time when the job is done on the machine
- d the fastest operating time j \( \in St \) can be completed
- e partial schedule consisting of scheduled operations

The calculation with tabu search algorithm will be done with the number of iterations of 5 and the number of tabu lists as much as 5, this is done so that the most optimal makespan value of each iteration is not lost, and reduces the same calculation for the data already in the tabu list.

In the calculation with tabu search algorithm, there is a tabu list which can be seen in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Order</th>
<th>Makespan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-4-5-3-1</td>
<td>2125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5-4-3-1</td>
<td>2125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3-4-5-1</td>
<td>2125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3-1-5-4</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3-1-4-5</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So the most optimal solution is obtained during the 4th and 5th iterations, with a makespan value of 2011 seconds and the order of each job as:

Iteration 4
Base - Shelving - Tiang - Bracket - Support Shelf
Iteration 5
Base - Shelving - Pole - Shelf Support - Bracket

The results of manual calculations have the same result value with the results using the application program. To run the program application, it is necessary to input the number of jobs, the number of machines, the number of iterations, and the maximum number of operations. After that input process time is needed in each operation and machine, so that a sequence of production processes is formed. Then after entering the input, you will get the results in the application program like Figure 5.
Figure 5 Result From Program

Based on the results of research, the efficiency improvement obtained after rescheduling with the tabu search algorithm method is 27.1% with the following calculations:

\[
\text{Efficiency} = \left( \frac{(2011 - 2760)}{2760} \right) \times 100\%
\]

Efficiency = 27.1 %

The efficiency improvement obtained after rescheduling with the active scheduling algorithm method is 20.5% with the following calculations:

\[
\text{Efficiency} = \left( \frac{(2194 - 2760)}{2760} \right) \times 100\%
\]

Efficiency = 20.5%

So that the tabu search algorithm method produces greater efficiency values than the active scheduling method.

5 CONCLUSION

Initial scheduling conducted by PT. Harmoni Empat Selaras still not optimal, shown by the makespan value which is still higher than the makespan value obtained using the tabu search algorithm method or active scheduling.

From the results of the study it can be said that tabu search is a more optimal scheduling method compared to active scheduling methods and company methods because the tabu search method makes the makespan value of 2011 seconds while the active scheduling method obtained makespan value of 2194 seconds and scheduling from the company amounted to 2760 seconds.

So that the new scheduling with the tabu search method is more efficient 27.1% than the old company scheduling and scheduling with an active scheduling method is more efficient 20.5% compared to the old scheduling used by the company.
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