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Abstract

National development is a process of changing from a particular national situation to a better national condition. Progress in regional development and people's welfare in Indonesia is not always the same and evenly distributed, this has resulted in disparities between regions. This condition is caused by differences in geographical conditions, natural resources, infrastructure, social culture, and human resource capacity. Based on this, a regional development program is needed that is focused on accelerating development in areas where social, cultural, economic, regional finance, accessibility, and infrastructure availability are lagging behind other regions. This study aims to determine the effect of a number of observation variables on the determination of underdeveloped regions and regions not lagging behind in Indonesia. The usefulness of this research is to provide recommendations to relevant agencies in making policies. This study uses secondary data collected by the Central Statistics Agency and the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia. The method used is Binary Logistic Regression. Based on the results of the analysis it can be concluded that the variables of the percentage of poor people, per capita consumption, life expectancy, average length of school, percentage of household users of electricity, average distance from the village office to the supervising district office, the percentage of villages with critical land influence to the classification of underdeveloped areas and not left behind.
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1. Introduction

National development is a process of changing from a particular national situation to a better national condition (SKBI, 2015). The progress of regional development and people's welfare in Indonesia is not always the same and evenly distributed, this results in a gap between regions. The condition is caused by differences in
geographical conditions, natural resources, infrastructure, socio-cultural, and the capacity of human resources. Based on the foregoing, regional development programs are needed that are focused on accelerating development in areas where social, cultural, economic, regional finance, accessibility, and infrastructure availability are lagging behind other regions (Syafria et al., 2014; Naibaho and elijoi, 2016). The number of disadvantaged areas in Indonesia in 2014 was 183 districts, while in 2015 it was reduced to 122 districts. Determination of underdeveloped or not lagging regions is based on 27 variables that have been determined by the State Ministry of Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration (KNPDT). The development of underdeveloped areas was initially focused on eastern Indonesia, but after being evaluated by the government it turned out that underdeveloped areas were also found in parts of other islands in Indonesia such as Java and Sumatra. The government pays attention to disadvantaged areas in Indonesia, so that people in the area have a quality of life not far behind the community in general in other regions. This study aims to determine the effect of a number of observation variables on the determination of underdeveloped regions and regions that are not left behind in Indonesia and the usefulness of this research is to provide recommendations to relevant agencies in making policies.

2. Support Theory

2.1 Underdeveloped regions
Underdeveloped areas are regions whose regions and communities are less developed compared to other regions on a national scale (KNPDT, 2014). Based on Government Regulation Number 78 of 2014 concerning the Acceleration of Development of Disadvantaged Areas, an area designated as a lagging area is based on 6 criteria, namely the community economy, human resources, facilities and infrastructure (infrastructure), regional financial capacity, accessibility, regional characteristics. The government stipulates 122 regions in Indonesia to be in the category of underdeveloped regions, this is regulated in the Presidential Regulation Nonor 131 of 2015 concerning Determination of Disadvantaged Regions in 2015-2019. The government establishes underdeveloped areas once every five years nationally (SKBI, 2015)

3. Literature Review

3.1 Logistic Regression Model
The logistic regression model is used to describe the relationship between the (dependent) response variable with one or several predictor variables (independent) (Bursac et al. 2008; Sarlija et al., 2017). The dependent variable (Y) in logistic regression is generally in the form of dichotomous, with the value of variable \( Y = 1 \) stating an observed event (e.g., success) and \( Y = 0 \) declaring another event (e.g., failed). The logistic regression model is a logit transformation of \( \pi (x) \) that is

\[
\pi(x) = \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \beta_p x_p)}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \beta_p x_p)}
\]

(1)

With \( \alpha \): constant, \( \beta \): regression coefficient, \( p \): many independent variables.

Logit transforms applied to the logistic regression model are:

\[
\text{logit}[\pi(x)] = \log \left( \frac{\pi(x)}{1 - \pi(x)} \right) = \alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \beta_p x_p
\]

(2)

Odds are the ratio between the chance of a particular event (success) and the chance of another event (failed), formulated as follows:

\[
\theta = \frac{\pi(x)}{1 - \pi(x)}
\]

(3)

To calculate the association of variables X and Y, the ratio of two odds, called the odds ratio, was formulated as follows (Hosmer, 2000):

\[
\psi = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}
\]

(4)
The logistic regression model does not assume a linear relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable, does not assume the variable is normally distributed, does not assume homocastricity (Agresti And Allan, 2002; Johnson and Wichern, 2007; Antonogeorgos et al., 2009).

3.2 Parameter Estimation of Logistic Regression Model
To estimate parameters in the logistic regression model the maximum likelihood method is used through iteration (Osibanjo, 2015; Ahmed, 2017). Each observation for a logistic regression model is a Bernoulli distribution variable, the likelihood function of Bernoulli’s distribution for \( n \) independent samples is (Hosmer, 2000):

\[
l(\beta) = \prod \pi(x_i)^{y_i}(1 - \pi(x_i))^{1 - y_i}
\]  

Log-likelihood or natural logarithms of joint probability functions are:

\[
L(L(\beta)) = \ln l(\beta) = \prod \pi(x_i)^{y_i}(1 - \pi(x_i))^{1 - y_i}
\]

The estimated parameter \( \beta_k \) is obtained by differentiating the log-likelihood function against \( \beta_k \) with \( i = 0, 1, k = 1, 2, \ldots, p \).

3.3 Significance Test of the Parameters of the Logistic Regression Model
Before testing the significance of the parameters individually, the overall significance of the parameters is tested first. Testing is overall referred to as the model significance test using the Likelihood Ratio Test, with the hypothesis:

\( H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_p = 0 \) which states the regression model does not mean, against the alternative hypothesis \( H_1 : \) at least two coefficient values \( \beta \) are not the same, meaning that the regression model is significant. The test statistics used are:

\[
-2 \log \left( \frac{L_0}{L_1} \right) = -2 \left[ \log(l_0) - \log(l_1) \right] = -2(L_0 - L_1)
\]  

\( L_0 \) : the maximum value of the likelihood function for the model under \( H_0 \).

\( L_1 \) : the maximum value of the likelihood function for the model under the alternative hypothesis.

\( L_0 \) : the maximum log-likelihood function value for the model under \( H_0 \).

\( L_1 \) : the maximum log-likelihood function value for the model under the alternative hypothesis.

If \( -2(L_0 - L_1) \geq \chi^2_p \) then \( H_0 \) is rejected, meaning the model is significant.

The Wald Test is used to significance test of each regression coefficient with \( H_0 : \beta_k = 0 \) which means that the independent variable to \( k \) is not significant. Test statistics are:

\[
W_k = \frac{\beta_k}{SE(\beta_k)} \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, p
\]

The hypothesis \( H_0 \) is rejected if \( W_k \geq \chi^2(\alpha, 1) \) means the independent variable to \( k \) is significant.

4. Research Methods

4.1 Research and Variables Objects
The object of observation in this study are districts and cities in Indonesia as many as 491 districts and cities. The variables in this study amounted to 27 variables used by the Ministry of Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration (KNPDT). The data used in this study are secondary data obtained from the Central Statistics Agency (BPS) and the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia, in the form of Village...

4.2 Steps to Analyze Binary Logistic Regression
The step of using logistic regression analysis is to form a binary logistic regression model, significance test of the parameters in the binary logistic regression model, compatibility test of the binary logistic regression model, calculation the odds ratio, interpretation of the logistic regression model.

5. Results And Discussion
Based on the results of an analysis of 491 districts and cities in Indonesia and 27 research variables, the following results were obtained:
1. The results of the feasibility testing of logistic regression models can be seen in Table 1, it can be concluded that the binary regression model is feasible to be used for further analysis, because the sig value \( \geq 0.05 \), meaning that there is no significant difference between the predicted classifications and observed classifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.601</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The results of the significance test of the binary logistic regression model can be seen in Table 2 and Table 3, the value of -2log likelihood in Table 2 is 107,871, while the value of -2log likelihood in Table 3 obtained through the previous iteration is 529,738. This decrease shows that the logistic regression model used is good.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>-2 Log likelihood</th>
<th>Cox &amp; Snell R Square</th>
<th>Nagelkerke R Square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>107.871(^a)</td>
<td>.576</td>
<td>.873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. From the 27 variables analyzed, 7 independent variables were found that significantly affected the classification of districts and cities as underdeveloped and not underdeveloped regions, namely variable \( X_1 \): Percentage of poor people, \( X_2 \): consumption per capita, \( X_3 \): life expectancy, \( X_4 \): long average school, \( X_5 \): percentage of household users of electricity, \( X_6 \): average distance from village offices to supervising district offices, \( X_7 \): percentage of villages with critical land. This can be seen in Table 4 with a sig value \(< 0.05\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>S.E</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1 (^b) ( x_1 )</td>
<td>.212</td>
<td>.048</td>
<td>19.868</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>-.105</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>19.666</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-1.119</td>
<td>.176</td>
<td>40.367</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Binary Logistic Regression Model is:

\[
\logit [\pi(x)] = \log \left( \frac{\pi(x)}{1 - \pi(x)} \right) = 156.854 + 0.212 X1 - 0.105 X2 - 1.119 X3 - 1.432 X4 - 0.136 X10 + 0.045 X18 + 0.033 X26
\]

4. The odds ratio is used to interpret the logistic regression coefficient, this can be seen in Table 5 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Logistic Regression Coefficient</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>1.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>-0.105</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>-1.119</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4</td>
<td>-1.432</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X10</td>
<td>-0.136</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X18</td>
<td>+0.045</td>
<td>1.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X26</td>
<td>+0.033</td>
<td>1.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>156.854</td>
<td>1.320E68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variable Odds Ratio X1 value: the percentage of poor people is 1.236, this shows that the percentage of poor people increases by one percent, then the opportunity for an area including underdeveloped areas increases 1.236 times compared to regions that are not left behind, Variable Odds Ratio X2: consumption per capita is 0.900, this shows that the increase in consumption per capita, the chance of an area including the lagging region is reduced by 0.900 times compared to the area not lagged, the value of the variable X3 Odds Ratio: life expectancy is 0.327, this indicates that the increase in life expectancy, then the chance of an area including underdeveloped areas is reduced by 0.327 times compared to areas not left behind, X4 variable Odds Ratio value: the average length of school is 0.239, this shows that every increase in the average length of school is one year, the probability of a region including underdeveloped regions is 0.239 times dib and if the area is not left behind, the variable X10 Odds Ratio value: the percentage of household users of electricity is 0.873, this indicates that each increase in the percentage of household users of electricity, then the opportunity of an area including underdeveloped area is reduced by 0.873 times compared to non-lagging regions, X18 variable Odds Ratio value: the average distance from the village office to the supervising district office is 1,046, indicating that each increase in the average distance from the village office to the district office which is equal to one unit, then the opportunity for an area including underdeveloped area increases by 1,046 times compared to the area that is not left behind, X26 Odds Ratio value: the percentage of villages with critical land is 1,034, this shows that each increase in the percentage of villages with critical land is one percent, then the chance of an area including underdeveloped areas increases by 1,034 times compared to regions that are not left behind.

6. Conclusion

Based on the results of the analysis using the steps outlined, it can be concluded that:

1. Variables that significantly influence the classification of underdeveloped or not underdeveloped regions, namely the percentage variable of the poor (X1), the consumption variable per capita (X2), these two variables are included in the criteria of the community economy; life expectancy variable (X3), average length of school variable (X4), both of these variables include criteria for human resources; variable percentage of electricity user households (X10), these variables include infrastructure criteria; variable average distance from the village office to the supervising district office (X18), these variables include accessibility criteria; the percentage variable of the village has a critical land (X26), this variable includes the characteristics of the region, with the Binary Logistic Regression Model:
logit [\pi(x)] = \log \left( \frac{\pi(x)}{1 - \pi(x)} \right) = 156.854 + 0.212 X_1 - 0.105 X_2 - 1.119 X_3 - 1.432 X_4 - 0.136 X_10 + 0.045 X_{18} + 0.033 X_{26}

2. Interpretation through the Odds Ratio coefficient generated from the binary logistic regression model, is able to explain the opportunity that a region is classified as a lagging area or a region not lagging behind.
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