This paper presents a project proposal about the design of an innovative and futuristic transport system that aims to replace highways and major roads with a fast, safe and ecological new arrangement. The aim was to conceive an innovative new infrastructure of transport that fits into a future city scenery. In the current historical period we are invested by fast, frenetic and unstoppable evolution that leads to the continuous replacement of products and technologies that in a few years went from being innovative to become obsolete and outdated. Moreover, this process has inevitably affected the whole world of transport: it has assisted and is still witnessing the replacement of diesel and petrol engines with hybrid and electric ones. These evolution and change have led us into the research and understanding of increasingly innovative technologies such as the ones with zero impact motors or magnetic levitation, which in the near future will probably become a valid alternative, or even the new pioneers of transport around the world.

At the beginning, the methodology used for the present case study was adopted to analyze how people used to move in the current period (i.e. 2019-20); then, it was taken into consideration the problems linked to the main means of transport diffused, with clean and green technologies; later, it was carried out a market analysis for each category, with related Benchmarking, in order to find the most significant technical characteristics of each one. According to the emerged results, a concept of an innovative transport system was developed, in order to guarantee the safety and privacy of passengers in addition to other performance items, as high speed or integration with other means. The intention was to create a transport network that could connect many cities with direct and easily practicable routes that could replace highways.
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1. Environment Analysis
In order to realize a coherent and winning project we started from the understanding of what will be the context in which our means of transport will be placed, The future City. Always in line with this period of great changes, we realized that cities are also quickly evolving and that presumably within a few years the perception of reality and our sensory experiences will be radically changed, compared to what we are currently experiencing. The cities themselves will be increasingly linked and will therefore need direct and fast connections that allow safe and fast moving.

1.1 How the five senses experience will be in the Future City?

Hearing
Cities will be increasingly connected; With the invasion of the IoT all objects will be in connection and using one finger pressed on a screen you will be able to control anything. With our hands we will be able to modify and manage our future.

Smell
Cities will be increasingly perfumed; in line with the struggle against global pollution, the vehicles themselves will reduce emissions to a minimum level by eliminating bad smells from the streets. Petrol, diesel and methane will only be bad memories replaced by totally sustainable mobility.
Sight
Cities will be less and less invasive; the cars will be placed in underground parking that will free the streets and the views, allowing us to admire ever wider landscapes where nature will mix perfectly with technology.

Touch
Cities will be increasingly silent; engines will be replaced by new, more efficient and quieter ones, noise and pollution will be reduced to a minimum.

Taste
Cities will be more and more beautiful. The development of a new aesthetic sense will lead cities to be greener and vertically developed with tall modern and safe skyscrapers.

1.2 Traffic Issue
One of the biggest challenges for future transportation is to abolish traffic from the streets. We spend hours of our lives stuck behind miles of cars. This causes us a huge waste of time that we cannot afford in a world that goes so fast. Furthermore, it is scientifically demonstrated that this situation involves an enormous investment of psychophysical energies that turn into a physical damage with a considerable increase in stress and tiredness. This therefore leads to a lower efficiency and productivity that can be noticed both in personal life and in work. The commitment to find new solutions to solve this problem therefore becomes fundamental from the point of view of personal well-being and also towards the planet and the serious damage it entails.

Considering environment and global pollution, these very long lines of machines blocked on the streets have a devastating impact with a Co2 production cellar and many other polluting substances that are released in the air. All thermal engines produce water, if powered by fossil fuels they produce carbon dioxide (CO2). Diesel powered vehicles mainly emit particulates such as PM10 and lower, hydrocarbons (HC), nitrogen oxides (NOx) and sulfur dioxide (SO2). A petrol vehicle emits particulate matter, NOx and CO, while natural gas and LPG vehicles emit NOx, ultrafine particulate matter and scarce hydrocarbons. In recent years, more and more researches have identified and measured the health consequences of traffic pollution. According to a recent report by the World Health Organization, there are as many as three million deaths attributable to pollution every year.

2. Market Analysis

2.1 Transports Analysis
We thought that the best thing to do was to start our analysis by going to take the main means of transport individually, trying to understand the positive and negative peculiarities. We have therefore analyzed considering the themes of Ecology, Cost Efficiency and Traffic related to the following means of transport: CAR, TRAM, UNDERGROUND, TRAIN, BIKE and PRT vehicles.

Car
The main cause of the traffic that congests cities and highways has on its side the great advantage of ensuring Privacy and Comfort that are practically unmatched by any other means of transport. On the other hand, we are still far from the goal of making the car environmentally friendly and zero-emission; also the issue of safety highlights that cars are one of the less safe means of transport.
CAR SHARING services are being developed more and more, but they add further inconveniences and waste of time to the problems of a personal car.

Underground
The great weak points of the subways are the enormous costs and the long production times that imply to limit their use and that are unattainable for long distances. Allow me to solve the problem of road traffic.
Bike
The ideal means of transport for reducing environmental pollution to zero is however a means limited to small distances and which does not allow to reach advantageous speeds. Furthermore, another extremely critical point is that in case of adverse weather conditions it becomes unusable.

Train
They are not suitable for short distances and are difficult to integrate inside cities. From the point of view of the environmental impact and in terms of speed and safety, however, they are certainly more advantageous than the means of transport analyzed so far. The new generation trains (magnetic levitation trains) that reach extraordinary speeds need expensive infrastructures that require high investments.

Tram
They have a number of disadvantages that lead them to be inevitably be at the bottom of the ranking. They are extremely invasive both from the point of view of viability and from that of infrastructures, becoming a real burden for urban traffic. They are also considerably slow.

PRT
Personal Rapid Transit are means of transport with automatic pilot that allows you to transport people from one place to another. They are extremely interesting vehicles even if they have never had a particular development because they have very limited speeds and require private infrastructures that limit their use in cities. Nowadays the only noteworthy example is the Prt system that connects Heathrow airport with London city.

3. Method Used

When the problems of the main means of transport were clear, we thought that the most correct and professional way to understand the characteristics of proceeding was to carry out a market analysis for each category with consequent QFD (figure 1) in order to find the most significant characteristics for any of them. We therefore carried out for each of the following categories:

![Figure 1. QFD means of transport](image)

3.1 The six questions
We wanted to answer the six questions while keeping a vision as futuristic as possible trying to imagine a transport that could be used by anyone at any time that was technological, safe and extremely fast (figure 2).
3.2 Benchmarking Cars

The four main cars used for sharing in Italy have been compared to understand which is the best one. The relevant features have been highlighted in green while the worst ones in red. Adding up the advantageous and disadvantageous characteristics, it turned out that the best car is Cart2Go. (figure 3)

![Figure 3 Benchmarking Cars](image3)

### 3.2.1 Matrix of Importance and Matrix of Dependence-Independence

![Figure 4 Matrix of importance](image4)

![Figure 5 Matrix of Dependence-Independence](image5)
Thanks to the matrix of importance (Figure 4) we have defined what characteristics are essential for cars by attributing a grade from 0 to 2 for each of them by comparing them. Thanks to the matrix of dependence/independence (Figure 5) we investigated the dependence between the various characteristics of cars to understand which ones were manageable individually and which should be considered in relation to others. In this case, the votes ranged from 0 to 9. The results showed that the essential features for cars are comfort and safety. While engine technology, size and efficiency are the characteristics that most influence the quality of the final product.

3.3 Benchmarking Trains

Four new and innovative trains have been compared to understand which is the best one. The relevant features have been highlighted in green while the worst ones in red. Adding up the advantageous and disadvantageous characteristics, it turned out that the best train is Rock Pop. (figure 6)

![Figure 6 Benchmarking Trains](image)

3.3.1 Matrix of Importance and Matrix of Dependence-Independence

![Figure 7 Matrix of importance](image)

![Figure 8 Matrix of Dependence-Independence](image)

Thanks to the matrix of importance (Figure 7) we have defined what characteristics are essential for trains by attributing a grade from 0 to 2 for each of them by comparing them. Thanks to the matrix of dependence/independence (Figure 8) we investigated the dependence between the various characteristics of train to understand which ones were manageable individually and which should be considered in relation to others. In this case, the votes ranged from 0 to 9. The results showed that the essential features for trains are efficiency and safety. While technology, dimensions and speed are the characteristics that most influence the quality of the final product.
3.4 Benchmarking Taxi

Five modern taxi have been compared to understand which is the best one. The relevant features have been highlighted in green while the worst ones in red. Adding up the advantageous and disadvantageous characteristics, it turned out that the best taxi is Toyota Auris Hybrid. (figure 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Seats</th>
<th>Doors</th>
<th>Exterior Design</th>
<th>Interior Design</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toyota Auris Hybrid</td>
<td>1400 Kg</td>
<td>194 km/h</td>
<td>400 mm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyota Auris Hybrid</td>
<td>1300 Kg</td>
<td>180 km/h</td>
<td>350 mm</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyota Auris Hybrid</td>
<td>1200 Kg</td>
<td>170 km/h</td>
<td>300 mm</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9 Benchmarking Taxi

3.4.1 Matrix of Importance and Matrix of Dependence-Independence

Thanks to the matrix of importance (Figure 10) we have defined what characteristics are essential for taxi by attributing a grade from 0 to 2 for each of them by comparing them. Thanks to the matrix of dependence/independence (Figure 11) we investigated the dependence between the various characteristics of taxi to understand which ones were manageable individually and which should be considered in relation to others. In this case, the votes ranged from 0 to 9. The results showed that the essential features for train are efficiency and safety. While technology, dimensions and speed are the characteristics that most influence the quality of the final product.

3.5 Benchmarking Scooter

Three scooter have been compared to understand which is the best one. The relevant features have been highlighted in green while the worst ones in red. Adding up the advantageous and disadvantageous characteristics, it turned out that the best scooter are Ujet and Appscooter. (figure 12)
Thanks to the matrix of importance (Figure 13) we have defined what characteristics are essential for scooters by attributing a grade from 0 to 2 for each of them by comparing them. Thanks to the matrix of dependence/independence (Figure 14) we investigated the dependence between the various characteristics of scooter to understand which ones were manageable individually and which should be considered in relation to others. In this case, the votes ranged from 0 to 9. The results showed that the essential features for scooters are sustainability and safety. While technology, sustainability and speed are the characteristics that most influence the quality of the final product.

3.6 Benchmarking bike

Three bike have been compared to understand which is the best one. The relevant features have been highlighted in green while the worst ones in red. Adding up the advantageous and disadvantageous characteristics, it turned out that the best bike is Gi Fly Bike. (figure 15)
Thanks to the matrix of importance (Figure 16) we have defined what characteristics are essential for bikes by attributing a grade from 0 to 2 for each of them by comparing them. Thanks to the matrix of dependence/independence (Figure 17) we investigated the dependence between the various characteristics of bike to understand which ones were manageable individually and which should be considered in relation to others. In this case, the votes ranged from 0 to 9. The results showed that the essential features for bike are sustainability, punctuality and safety. While technology, ergonomics and sustainability are the characteristics that most influence the quality of the final product.

### 3.7 Benchmarking electric scooter

Three electric scooter have been compared to understand which is the best one. The relevant features have been highlighted in green while the worst ones in red. Adding up the advantageous and disadvantageous characteristics, it turned out that the best electric scooter is Audi e-tron. (Figure 18)

---

![Figure 15 Benchmarking bike](image)

**Figure 15 Benchmarking bike**

### 3.6.1 Matrix of Importance and Matrix of Dependence-Independence

![Figure 16 Matrix of importance](image)

![Figure 17 Matrix of Dependence-Independence](image)
3.7.1 Matrix of Importance and Matrix of Dependence-Independence

Thanks to the matrix of importance (Figure 19) we have defined what characteristics are essential for electric scooters by attributing a grade from 0 to 2 for each of them by comparing them. Thanks to the matrix of dependence/independence (Figure 20) we investigated the dependence between the various characteristics of electric scooter to understand which ones were manageable individually and which should be considered in relation to others. In this case, the votes ranged from 0 to 9. The results showed that the essential features are sustainability and safety. While technology, practicality and maneuverability are the characteristics that most influence the quality of the final product.

3.8 Final Matrices

After having extrapolated the most important characteristics for each means of transport, we combined them doing other matrices that included them. In sequence:

- Final Matrix of importance (Figure 21)
- Final Matrix of dependence / independence (Figure 22)

We concluded with a WHAT / HOW matrix (Figure 23) in which we crossed the characteristics emerged from the total matrices with the most important design characteristics that we had identified in the Benchmarkings In this way we came to obtain the best characteristics of each means of transport which, together, embodied an ideal future means of transport (Figure 24)
### 3.8.2 Final Matrix of dependence/ independence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ergonomics</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Sustainability</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Motor Power</th>
<th>Rapidity</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>Convenience</th>
<th>Management/Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ergonomics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Power</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapidity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handling</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.8.3 Final Matrix of What/ How

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Motor</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Privacy</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Max Speed</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Salivating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ergonomics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Power</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 21** Final Matrix of importance

**Figure 22** Final Matrix of dependence/ independence

**Figure 23** Final Matrix of What/ How
4. Feasibility Analysis

We have taken MAGLEV magnetic levitation trains as study models, that are already produced and operating in different territories and they gave us guarantees of efficiency and allowed us to access information, patents and data without any problem.

We moved trying to understand the possibility of creating a transport system similar to that of the maglev on a small scale. We therefore analyzed several magnetic levitation vehicles to first understand how they work, to understand the energy quantities necessary for their operation, the environmental impact from the point of view of magnetic fields and the production costs of the equipment.

4.1 The magnetic levitation

The super-speed Maglev system has no wheels, no axles, no gear transmissions, no steel rails, and no overhead electrical pantographs. The maglev vehicles do not roll on wheels; rather, they hover above the track guideway, using the attractive magnetic force between two linear arrays of electromagnetic coils—one side of the coil on the vehicle, the other side in the track guideway, which function together as a magnetic dipole. During levitation and travelling operation, the Maglev vehicle floats on a frictionless magnetic cushion with no mechanical contact whatsoever with the track guideway. On-board vehicle electronic systems measure the dipole gap distance 100,000 times per second to guarantee the clearance between the coils attached to the underside of the guideway and the magnetic portion of the vehicle wrapped around the guideway edges. With this precise, constantly updated electronic control, the dipole gap remains nominally constant at 10 millimeters (0.39 in). When levitated, the maglev vehicle has about 15 centimeters (5.9 in) of clearance above the guideway surface. The Maglev vehicle requires less power to hover than it needs to run its on-board air conditioning equipment. A linear motor is an electric motor that has had its stator and rotor “unrolled” thus instead of producing a torque (rotation) it it produces a linear force along its length. However, linear motors are not necessarily straight.

Characteristically, a linear motor’s active section has ends, whereas more conventional motors are arranged as a continuous loop. The most common mode of operation is as a Lorentz-type actuator, in which the applied force is linearly proportional to the current and the magnetic field.

4.2 Propulsion

The Maglev system uses a synchronous long stator linear motor for both propulsion and braking. It works like a rotating electric motor whose stator is "unrolled" along the underside of the guideway; instead of producing
torque (rotation) it produces a linear force along its length (Figure 25). The electromagnets in the maglev vehicle which lift it also work as the equivalent of the excitation portion (rotor) of this linear electric motor. Since the magnetic travelling field works in only one direction, if there were to be several maglev trains on a given track section, they would all travel in the same direction thereby reducing the possibility of collision between moving trains (Figure 26).

Figure 26 Propulsion System

Figure 25 Linear Motor

4.3 Rail section feeding system
The train track is divided into many 5 km long sections. These sections of track are activated only when the maglev train crosses them, otherwise they remain deactivated. This involves a great saving of energy. Each section is equipped with its own motors LSM and requires 110 kW of current from the public grid to operate (Figure 27).

Figure 27 Rail section system

4.4 Magnetic fields
The air opening for the levitation of a Maglev train is very narrow (about 10mm). This small opening effectively contains the electromagnetic field and greatly reduces its extension (Figure 28).
4.5 Ecological impact
The Maglev Train is an electrically driven, clean, high-speed, high-capacity means of transport able to build up point-to-point passenger connections in geographically challenged surroundings. This has to be set in comparison with the impact on heritage and or landscape protection areas. Any impact of emissions has to take into account the source of electrical energy. The reduced expense, noise and vibration of a people-only Maglev system versus a cargo train track is not directly comparable. The reuse of existing tracks and the interfacing with existing networks is limited. The Train indirectly competes for resources, space and tracks in urban and city surroundings with classical urban transport systems and high-speed trains.

4.6 Energy requirements
The normal energy consumption of the Transrapid is approximately 50 to 100 kilowatts (67 to 134 hp) per section for levitation and travel, and vehicle control. The drag coefficient of the Transrapid is about 0.26. The aerodynamic drag of the vehicle, which has a frontal cross section of 16 m$^2$ (172 sq ft), requires a power consumption, at 400 km/h (249 mph) or 111 m/s (364 ft/s) cruising speed, given by the following formula (Figure 29)

\[
P = c_w \cdot A_{\text{Front}} \cdot v^3 \cdot \text{(density of surrounding air)}/2
\]

\[
P = 0.26 \cdot 16 \text{ m}^2 \cdot (111 \text{ m/s})^3 \cdot 1.24 \text{ kg/m}^3 /2
\]

\[
P = 3.53 \cdot 10^6 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 /\text{s}^3 = 3.53 \cdot 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m/s} = 3.53 \text{ MW}
\]

Figure 29 Formula

Power consumption compares favorably with other high-speed rail systems. With an efficiency of 0.85, the power required is about 4.2 MW. Energy consumption for levitation and guidance purposes equates to approximately 1.7 kW/t. As the propulsion system is also capable of functioning in reverse, energy is transferred back into the electrical grid during braking. An exception to this is when an emergency stop is performed using the emergency landing skids beneath the vehicle, although this method of bringing the vehicle to a stop is intended only as a last resort should it be impossible or undesirable to keep the vehicle levitating on back-up power to a natural halt.
5. **Budget**

The estimated total cost of carrying out the project is 617.40 £ *(Figure 30)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COST OF EQUIPMENT</th>
<th>MATERIALS</th>
<th>EQUIPMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROTOTYPING</td>
<td>93.000</td>
<td>55.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEST</td>
<td>50.000</td>
<td>55.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPING</td>
<td>33.600</td>
<td>30.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>176.200</td>
<td>140.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COST</td>
<td>316.200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANIC COST</th>
<th>3 DESIGNERS</th>
<th>3 ENGINEERS</th>
<th>3 WORKERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.000</td>
<td>40.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.600</td>
<td>55.000</td>
<td>20.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.600</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>20.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>181.200</td>
<td>145.000</td>
<td>55.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COST</td>
<td>381.200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 30 Budget**

6. **Concept development**

According to the results that emerged from our matrices, we had the will to develop a transport system that could guarantee the safety and privacy of passengers in addition to a high speed. The intention was to create a transport network that could unite many cities with direct and easily practicable routes that could replace today's highways. The idea was to create an elevated track system on which individual machines could travel quickly and safely. The idea of creating the elevated tracks was born coherently with our research and environmental analysis as the final mission was to decrease more and more the asphalt and the roads on the ground allowing a huge space saving with a consequent requalification of the territories with green areas and extension of urban areas.
6.1 First Sketches

Here we can see the first sketches (Figure 31)

![Figure 31 Cart details](image)

6.2 Design and development

From the sketches we moved on to the digitalization of our concept with a more in-depth and detailed study of its functioning. Specifically, we went to define separately, first all the components inherent to the Cart, through the definition of the various components and through a product’s architecture (Figure 32). Then we did the same regarding to the supporting structure (the track) by going to insert the various technical components, choosing the motors and the type of magnetic levitation system that we considered more suitable.

![Figure 32 Details](image)
Let’s analyze some details (Figure 33)

**CENTRAL MOTOR**: electric motor that allows the rotation of the upper part of the Cart during the loading and unloading of the vehicle.

**GUIDE MOTOR**: secondary electric motor that allows the movement of the side guides in case of a fork in the track. It therefore allows the release of the guide.

**AUXILIARY MOTOR**: motor that allows the movement of the locks for the wheels avoiding the movement of the loaded vehicle.

**OPTICAL SENSOR**: Sensor that detects the exact position of the vehicle wheels on the Cart allowing the locks to be positioned correctly.

**LSM MOTOR**: bilateral linear motor which is positioned on the track (Figure 34). This means that the energy needed to produce levitation is totally charged to the track and does not have to be provided by the trolley. On the track, in fact, we have a pair of stators which will be coupled with the lateral guides of the Cart; we also have two lateral electromagnets placed outside and two central electromagnets placed in the internal cavity which have the function of stabilizing the Cart during the movement, preventing it from going out of the roadway. As shown in the figure, on the track, there are three different forces act: Propulsion, Levitation and Weight Force (of the cart) (Figure 35).
6.3 Wheel clamping System
There is a slight recess in which the vehicle wheel is expected to enter. When it enters, the wheel lock is lowered. Once the wheel is in the correct position the wheel block rises and advances until it reaches the wheel and lock it. This happens for each of the four wheels. The movement of the wheel block is guided by four optical sensors that detect the exact position of the tires. *(Figure 36)*

![Figure 36 Wheel's block details](image)

6.4 Lateral guides release system
In the event of a junction of the rails, the system of mobile lateral guides allows the Cart, to release the external guide to the deviation that must be made by continuing in the desired direction *(Figure 37)*. The stability of the trolley is guaranteed by the two central support guides. For example, in the case of an exit to the right, the left side guide of the trolley will be released in order to allow the latter to follow the correct path *(Figure 38)*.

![Figure 37 Cart guides](image)
6.5 Loan and unload stations
The upper part of the Cart rotates on the lower one. In this way, the upper part manages to enter in continuity with the road and it can load unload the latter in a simple and fast way through a mobile ramp that exits the Cart itself when it is needed. (Figure 39)

7. Product development
We have worked on a 3D model of the Cart reproducing all the components using Alias Studio and Creo. We have also created a 3D model of the rail. (Figure 40) At the end we have made many renderings, hypothesizing how the cart could be placed in the environment. (Figure 41)
8. Highways
The idea is to replace traditional asphalt highways with new faster and safer ONES. *(Figure 42), (Figure 43)* Traffic problems would thus be avoided and accidents would be eliminated with consequent and undeniable well-being for each person.
8.1 Milano-Bologna
Milan- Bologna: total distance 215 Km
A car with an average speed of x100 Hm/h takes 2h 30 m to travel the entire route. With Fly Trans, on the other hand, you travel at an average speed of 300 Km/h and therefore it takes only 45 minutes to travel the same distance (Figure 44).
8.2 The mission
Through this new typology of elevated highways it would be possible to definitively eliminate asphalted roads. This would result in an incredible increase in space that could be used for cultivation, remediation or to extend housing areas. There would be a perfect cohesion between the roads and the territory.

9. Future Developments and Conclusions
As already presented in IEOM Plzen Conference 2019 by Frizziero et al. and Donnici et al., future developments must be oriented to the implementation of the emerging technologies (derived from Industry 4.0) instead of the techniques nowadays used for SDE.
In particular, we can put the attention mostly on two aspects:

1) Pencil Sketches can be evolved into Digital Sketches
2) Physical Model can be substituted by Digital Model (for example using A.R. or V.R.)

In conclusion, it can be affirmed that in the present work, a new innovative car project was developed using the method and the technologies illustrated.
In particular, through Stylistic Design Engineering applications, sketches, 2D drawings, 3D models and Physical Prototype were realized, in order to help the design process to be performed.
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