

# Properties of Fractional Brownian Motions for Modeling Stock Prices

**Agung Prabowo, Agus Sugandha, Agustini Tripena, Widya Setya Dewi**  
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences,  
Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Indonesia  
agung.prabowo@unsoed.ac.id, agung\_nghp@yahoo.com

## **Mustafa Mamat**

<sup>3)</sup> Faculty of Informatics and Computing, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin (UNISZA)  
Gong Badak Campus, 21300, Terengganu, Malaysia  
musmat567@gmail.com ; must@unisza.edu.my

## **Sukono**

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences,  
Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia  
sukono@unpad.ac.id ; fsukono@yahoo.com

## **Abdul Talib Bon**

Department of Production and Operations, University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia  
talibon@gmail.com

## **Abstract**

Fractional Brownian motion is a general form of Brownian motion by adding a parameter / index, namely the Hurts index. Modeling stock prices with Brownian motion is common. In this article we will discuss fractional Brown motion for modeling stock prices. Some of these properties are increments which are normally distributed and not mutually independent, self-similarity and long-range dependent.

## **Keywords:**

Fractional Brown motion, Stock price, Hurst index, Properties.

## **1. Introduction**

In the world of investment in stock assets, Value-at-Risk (VaR) gives investors an indication of portfolio risk. A portfolio is a collection of several pieces of share assets owned by an investor (Kalfin *et al.*, 2019a; Kalfin *et al.*, 2019b). Incremental Value-at-Risk (IVaR) provides an indication of how these risks change when there is a change in portfolio investment (Calvalcante and Assaaf, 2004; Kang, Cheong and Yoon, 2010; Sukono *et al.*, 2019). In practice, it is often related to changes in portfolio risk when investors make changes to new investments. Therefore, a portfolio risk analysis is required when investing (Hasbullah *et al.*, 2020; Sukono *et al.*, 2020; Kalfin *et al.*, 2020). In this case, IVaR is a change in the VaR portfolio that is related to each addition of new investments in the portfolio.

The relationship between IVaR and new investments is very informative (Goddard and Onali, 2012; Murwaningtyas *et al.*, 2016). Size IVaR can be used as an aid in making risk control decisions. For example, investors can use IVaR to determine the expected return on investment plans, and to determine investment limits. While Component Value-at-Risk (CVaR) is very useful for identifying high risk sources and also the opposite, and for determining investment constraints, making investment decisions, determining capital requirements, etc.

## 2. Methods

The methodology of this research is literature study. In this presentation, we propose some important properties of fractional Brownian motion for stock prices modeling. These properties are stationary increments, self-similarity and short and long memory.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### Definition 1

Brownian motion is stochastic processes  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with

- $B_0 = 0$  almost surely;
- For every finite sequential time  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ , increments  $B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}}$  are independent;
- For  $0 \leq s < t$ , random variable  $B_t - B_s$  normally distributed with expectation 0 and variance  $t - s$ .

### Definition 2

Brownian motion is centralized Gaussian processes  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with  $Cov(B_s, B_t) = \min(s, t)$ .

### Definition 3

Suppose  $H$  a constant with  $H \in (0, 1]$ . Fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index  $H$  is a centralized Gaussian processes  $(B_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$  with covariance function

$$E[B_s^H \cdot B_t^H] = Cov(B_s^H, B_t^H) = \frac{1}{2}(s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |s - t|^{2H}), \quad s, t \geq 0.$$

Definition 3 explain that fractional Brownian Motion has zero expectation, i.e.  $E[B_t^H] = 0$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ . From this, we have  $Var(B_t^H) = t^{2H}$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} Var(B_t^H) &= E[(B_t^H)^2] - (E[B_t^H])^2 \\ &= E[(B_t^H) \cdot (B_t^H)] - (E[B_t^H])^2 \\ &= Cov(B_t^H, B_t^H) - (E[B_t^H])^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + t^{2H} - |t - t|^{2H}) - 0 = t^{2H} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, fractional Brownian motion is a continuous and centered Gaussian process with stationary increments and variance  $E[(B_t^H)^2] = t^{2H}$ . Parameter  $H$  allows us to model statistical long range dependence of the log returns. In financial modeling, we assume that  $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$

### Proposition 1

If  $H = \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $(B_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$  is Brownian motion.

#### Proof:

Given  $H = \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(B_s^{\frac{1}{2}}, B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}) &= \frac{1}{2}(s^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}} + t^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}} - |s - t|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(s + t - |s - t|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(s+t-(s-t)) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2t = t & ; s \geq t \\ \frac{1}{2}(s+t-(-(s-t))) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2s = s & ; s < t \end{cases} \\
 &= \min(s, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $t > s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\left[\left(B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \cdot \left(B_s^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] &= \text{Cov}\left(B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}, B_s^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( t^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}} + s^{2 \cdot \frac{q}{w}} - |t-s|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (t + s - (t-s)) = s
 \end{aligned}$$

From proposition 1, if  $H > \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $2H > 1$ . So  $s^{2H} + t^{2H} > |s-t|^{2H}$  or  $s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |s-t|^{2H} > 0$ . In another words, Fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $H > \frac{1}{2}$  has positive correlation.

The correlation between two *increments* in Fractional Brownian motion show the independent increments. If  $H = \frac{1}{2}$ , then the correlation between two *increments* is 0. That means, two *increments* are independent.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E\left[\left(B_{t+h}^{\frac{1}{2}} - B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \cdot \left(B_{s+h}^{\frac{1}{2}} - B_s^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] \\
 &= E\left[\left(B_{t+h}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot B_{s+h}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) - B_{t+h}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot B_s^{\frac{1}{2}} - B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot B_{s+h}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot B_s^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] \\
 &= E\left[B_{t+h}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot B_{s+h}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] - E\left[B_{t+h}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot B_s^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] - E\left[B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot B_{s+h}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] + E\left[B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot B_s^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (2(s+h) - 2s - 2(s-h) + 2s) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

For case  $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $E\left[\left(B_{t+h}^H - B_t^H\right) \cdot \left(B_{s+h}^H - B_s^H\right)\right] = 0$  not follow. It can show that (Murwaningtyas *et al.*, 2016)

$$E\left[\left(B_{t+h}^H - B_t^H\right) \cdot \left(B_{s+h}^H - B_s^H\right)\right] = \frac{1}{2} h^{2H} \left[ (n-1)^{2H} + (n+1)^{2H} - 2n^{2H} \right] \text{ with } s \leq s+h \leq t \leq t+h \text{ and } t-s = nh.$$

### Proposition 2

If  $H = 1$ , then  $B_t^H = tB_1^H$  almost surely for every  $t \geq 0$ .

#### Proof:

We can calculate the covariance of the left side dan right side from  $B_t^H = tB_1^H$  and show that this covariance is equal.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Cov}(B_t^1, B_t^1) &= E[B_t^1 \cdot B_t^1] = \frac{1}{2} (t^2 + t^2 - |t-t|^2) = t^2 \\
 \text{Cov}(tB_1^1, tB_1^1) &= t^2 \cdot \text{Cov}(B_1^1, B_1^1) \\
 &= t^2 \cdot E[B_1^1 \cdot B_1^1] \\
 &= t^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (1^2 + 1^2 - |1-1|^2) = t^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Another way, we can proof that  $B_t^H = tB_1^H$  almost surely for every  $t \geq 0$ . by show that  $E\left[\left(B_t^H - tB_1^H\right)^2\right] = 0$ . That means  $B_t^H = tB_1^H$  convergen (almost surely). Almost surely means:

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_t^1 - t \cdot B_1^1\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{R})}^2 &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \int (B_t^1 - t \cdot B_1^1)^2 dP &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow E[(B_t^1 - t \cdot B_1^1)^2] &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow B_t^1 &= t \cdot B_1^1 \quad \text{P - almost - surely.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[(B_t^1 - tB_1^1)^2] &= E[(B_t^1 - tB_1^1) \cdot (B_t^1 - tB_1^1)] \\ &= E[(B_t^1)^2 - 2tB_1^1B_t^1 + (tB_1^1)^2] \\ &= E[(B_t^1)^2] - 2tE[B_1^1B_t^1] + t^2E[(B_1^1)^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + t^2 - |t-t|^2) - 2tE[B_1^1B_t^1] + t^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}(1^2 + 1^2 - |1-1|^2)\right) \\ &= t^2 - 2tE[B_1^1B_t^1] + t^2 \\ &= 2t^2 - 2t \cdot \text{Cov}(B_1^1, B_t^1) \\ &= 2t^2 - 2t \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}(1^2 + t^2 - |1-t|^2)\right) \\ &= 2t^2 - 2t \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}(1^2 + t^2 - (1-t)^2)\right) \\ &= 2t^2 - 2t \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2t\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1:**

If  $(B_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$  is Fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $H \in (0,1]$ , then

- for every  $a > 0$ ,  $(a^{-H} B_{at}^H)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (B_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$  (self-similarity)
- for every  $h > 0$ ,  $(B_{t+h}^H - B_h^H)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (B_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$  (stationary increments)

**Proof:**

- $(a^{-H} B_{at}^H)_{t \geq 0}$  is centralized Gaussian processes with covariance

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(a^{-H} B_{at}^H, a^{-H} B_{as}^H) &= a^{-2H} \cdot \text{Cov}(B_{at}^H, B_{as}^H) \\ &= a^{-2H} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}(a^{2H}(s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |s-t|^{2H}))\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |s-t|^{2H}) \end{aligned}$$

- $(B_{t+h}^H - B_h^H)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (B_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$  is centralized Gaussian processes with covariance.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Cov(B_{t+h}^H - B_h^H, B_{s+h}^H - B_h^H) \\
 &= E[(B_{t+h}^H - B_h^H) \cdot (B_{s+h}^H - B_h^H)] \\
 &= E[B_{t+h}^H \cdot B_{s+h}^H - B_{t+h}^H \cdot B_h^H - B_h^H \cdot B_{s+h}^H + B_h^H \cdot B_h^H] \\
 &= E[B_{t+h}^H \cdot B_{s+h}^H] - E[B_{t+h}^H \cdot B_h^H] - \\
 &\quad E[B_h^H \cdot B_{s+h}^H] + E[B_h^H \cdot B_h^H] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} [(t+h)^{2H} + (s+h)^{2H} - |(t+h) - (s+h)|^{2H}] - \frac{1}{2} [(t+h)^{2H} + h^{2H} - |(t+h) - h|^{2H}] - \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2} [h^{2H} + (s+h)^{2H} - |h - (s+h)|^{2H}] + \frac{1}{2} [h^{2H} + h^{2H} - |h - h|^{2H}] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[ (t+h)^{2H} + (s+h)^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H} \right] - \left[ (t+h)^{2H} + h^{2H} - |t|^{2H} \right] - \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left[ h^{2H} + (s+h)^{2H} - |s|^{2H} \right] + 2h^{2H} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} [t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}]
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2**

For  $H \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , Fractional Brownian motions have short-memory and long memory for  $H \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$

**Proof:**

Given Fractional Gaussian noise  $X_k = B_k^H - B_{k-1}^H$  and  $X_{k+n} = B_{k+n}^H - B_{k+n-1}^H$ . The autocovariance function for these noise are

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(n) &= Cov(X_k, X_{k+n}) = E[X_k \cdot X_{k+n}] \\
 &= E[(B_k^H - B_{k-1}^H) \cdot (B_{k+n}^H - B_{k+n-1}^H)] \\
 &= E[B_1^H (B_{n+1}^H - B_n^H)] = \\
 &= Cov(B_1^H, B_{n+1}^H) - Cov(B_1^H, B_n^H) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} ((n+1)^{2H} + (n-1)^{2H} - 2n^{2H})
 \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(n)}{H(2H-1)n^{2H-2}} = 1$ , then  $\rho(n) \approx H(2H-1)n^{2H-2}$ . If  $H < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\rho(n) < 0$  and  $\sum_n \rho(n) < \infty$  (short memory). If  $H > \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\rho(n) > 0$  and  $\sum_n \rho(n) = \infty$  (long memory). The long memory means  $X_k$  and  $X_{k+n}$  decrease very slow for long time.

**6. Conclusions**

We show that the Brownian motion is a special case from Fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index = 1/2. If Hurst index > 1/2. we can modelling stock prices use Fractional Brownian motion for long memory. Fractional Brownian motions have two important properties: self-similarity and stationary increments.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank Jenderal Soedirman University (UNSOED) and the Ministry of Research, Technology and High Education of Republic of Indonesia. This work was supported by BLU UNSOED No. P/419/UN23/14/PN/2019, date on March, 29 2019, via Improvement Competency Research.

## References

- Calvalcante, J. and Assaaf, A., Long range dependence in the returns and volatility of the Brazilian Stock Market. *European Review of Economics and Finance*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 1 – 21, 2004.
- Goddard, J. and Onali, E., Short and long memory in stock return data, *Economic Letters*, vo. 117, no. 1, pp. 253 – 255, 2012.
- Hasbullah, E.S., Sukono, Rusyaman, E., ... Kalfin,, and Halim, N.B.A. (2020). Optimization of the mean-variance investment portfolio of some stocks under market sentiment and the armax-garch model. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29(6), pp. 3280–3288.
- Murwaningtyas, C. E., Haryani, S., Gunardi, and Suryawan, H. P. Gerak Brown fraksional dan sifat-sifatnya, *Proceeding on 2016 Seminar Nasional Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika UNY*, pp. MS79 – MS84, 2016.
- Kang, S.H., Cheong, C., and Yoon, S.M., Long memory volatility in Chinese Stock Markets, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, vol/ 389, no. 7, pp. 1425 – 1433, 2010.
- Kalfin, Sukono, and Carnia E. (2019). Optimization of the Mean-Absolute Deviation Portfolio Investment in Some Mining Stocks Using the Singular Covariance Matrix Method. *Journal of Physics: Conf. Series*, 1315(1), p. 012002.
- Kalfin, Sukono, and Carnia E. (2019). Portfolio Optimization of the Mean-Absolute Deviation Model of Some Stocks Using the Singular Covariance Matrix. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE)*, 8(3), pp. 200-210.
- Kalfin, Sukono, Carnia, E., Sirait, H. (2020). Portfolio optimization model of mean-standard deviation using non-singular covariance matrix and singular covariance matrix methods. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29(5), pp. 174–186
- Sukono, Lesmana, E., Rosadi, D., Mamat, M., Prabowo, A., Abdullah, M.R., Juahir, H., Kamarudin, M.K.A., Analysis of Incremental and Component of Value-at-Risk in the Stock Invesment Portfolio, *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, vol. 7, no. 5S4, pp. 584-588, 2019.
- Sukono, Rusyaman, E., Ningsih, E.S., Kalfin. (2020). Optimal portfolio analysis of kelly criteria and markowitz models using the lagrange multiplier method in the foreign exchange. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29(5), pp. 230–239.

## Biographies

**Agung Prabowo** is the staff of the Department of Mathematics, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman. His fields of research are financial mathematics, survival model analysis and ethno-mathematics.

**Agus Sugandha** is the staff of the Department of Mathematics, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman. His field of research is number theory.

**Agustini Tripena** is the staff of the Department of Mathematics, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman. His field of research is statistics.

**Widya Setya Dewi** has been graduated from the Department of Mathematics, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman. His field of research is statistics.

**Mustafa Mamat** is a lecture in Faculty of Informatics and Computing, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia. The research field of applied mathematics, with a field of concentration of optimization.

**Sukono** is a lecturer in the Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Padjadjaran. Currently as Chair of the Research Collaboration Community (RCC), the field of applied mathematics, with a field of concentration of financial mathematics and actuarial sciences.

**Abdul Talib Bon** is a professor of Production and Operations Management in the Faculty of Technology Management and Business at the Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia since 1999. He has a PhD in Computer Science, which he obtained from the Universite de La Rochelle, France in the year 2008. His doctoral thesis was on topic Process Quality Improvement on Beltline Moulding Manufacturing. He studied Business Administration in the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for which he was awarded the MBA in the year 1998. He's bachelor degree and

diploma in Mechanical Engineering which he obtained from the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. He received his postgraduate certificate in Mechatronics and Robotics from Carlisle, United Kingdom in 1997. He had published more 150 International Proceedings and International Journals and 8 books. He is a member of MSORSM, IIF, IEOM, IIE, INFORMS, TAM and MIM.